

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/812,359	ZENDA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Matthew W. Ing	3637	

All Participants:

(1) Matthew W. Ing.

(2) Susan A. Wolfe.

Date of Interview: 18 May 2007

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1200 hrs

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
Briefly, all of record

Claims discussed:
Briefly, all of record; in particular, claim 4

Prior art documents discussed:
Briefly, all of record


Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
An examiner's amendment was proposed, discussed, and agreed-upon.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


Jose V. Chen
 Primary Examiner


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)